

SEMI LOG RESOLUTIONS

JÁNOS KOLLÁR

The aim of this note is to discuss resolution theorems that are useful in the study of semi log canonical varieties.

Definition 1 (Simple normal crossing). Let k be a field, X a k -scheme and $D = \sum a_i D_i$ a Weil divisor on X with the D_i irreducible.

We say that (X, D) has *simple normal crossing* or *snc* at a point $p \in X$ if X is smooth at p and there are local coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$ such that $\text{Supp } D \subset (x_1 \cdots x_n = 0)$ near p . Alternatively, if for each D_i there is a $c(i)$ such that $D_i = (x_{c(i)} = 0)$ near p .

We say that (X, D) has *normal crossing* or *nc* at a point $p \in X$ if $(\hat{X}_K, D|_{\hat{X}_K})$ is snc at p where $\hat{X}_K$ denotes the completion at p and K is an algebraic closure of $k(p)$.

Let $p \in D$ be a nc point of multiplicity 2. If the characteristic is different from 2, then, in suitable local coordinates, D can be given by an equation $x_1^2 - ux_2^2 = 0$ where $u \in \mathcal{O}_{p,X}$ is a unit. D is snc at p iff u is a square.

For example, $(y^2 = x^2 + x^3) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ is nc but it is not snc at the origin. Similarly, $(x^2 + y^2 = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ is nc but it is snc only if $\sqrt{-1}$ is in the base field k .

We say that (X, D) is snc (resp. nc) if it is snc (resp. nc) for every $p \in X$.

Given (X, D) , there is a largest open set $U \subset X$ such that $(U, D|_U)$ is snc (resp. nc). This open set is called that *snc* (resp. *nc*) *locus* of (X, D) .

Definition 2 (Log resolution). Let k be a perfect field, X a reduced k -scheme and D a Weil divisor on X . A *log resolution* of (X, D) is a proper birational morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ such that $D' := \text{Supp}(f^{-1}(D) + \text{Ex}(f))$ is a snc divisor on X' . (In particular, all of its irreducible components have codimension 1.) Here $\text{Ex}(f)$ denotes the exceptional set of f , that is, the set of points where f is not a local isomorphism. We also say that $f : (X', D') \rightarrow (X, D)$ is a log resolution.

The basic existence result on resolutions was established by [Hir64]. We also need a strengthening of it, due to [Sza94].

Theorem 3 (Existence of log resolutions). *Let X be an algebraic space of finite type over a field of characteristic 0 and D a Weil divisor on X .*

- (1) [Hir64] (X, D) has a log resolution.
- (2) [Sza94] (X, D) has a log resolution $f : X' \rightarrow X$ such that f is an isomorphism over the snc locus of (X, D) .

Here we show how (3.2) can be reduced to the Hironaka-type resolution theorems presented in [Kol07]. The complication is that the Hironaka method and its variants proceed by induction on the multiplicity. Thus, for instance, the method would normally blow up every triple point of D before dealing with the non-snc double points. In the present situation, however, we want to keep the snc triple points untouched.

We can start by resolving the singularities of X , thus it is no restriction to assume from the beginning that X is smooth. To facilitate induction, we work with a more general resolution problem.

Definition 4. Consider the object $(X, I_1, \dots, I_m, E)$ where X is a smooth variety, the I_j are ideal sheaves of Cartier divisors and E a snc divisor. We say that $(X, I_1, \dots, I_m, E)$ has *simple normal crossing* or *snc* at a point $p \in X$ if X is smooth at p and there are local coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_r, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n$ and an injection $\sigma : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that

- (1) $I_{\sigma(i)} = (x_i)$ near p for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $p \notin \text{cosupp } I_j$ for every other I_j ;
- (2) $\text{Supp } E \subset (\prod_{i>r} x_i = 0)$ near p .

Thus $E + \sum_j \text{cosupp } I_j$ has snc support near p , but we also assume that no two of $E, \text{cosupp } I_1, \dots, \text{cosupp } I_m$ have a common irreducible component near p . Furthermore, the I_j are assumed to vanish with multiplicity 1, but we do not care about the multiplicities in E . The definition is chosen mainly to satisfy the following restriction property:

- (3) Assume that I_1 is the ideal sheaf of a smooth divisor $S \subset X$ and that none of the irreducible components of S is contained in E or in $\text{cosupp } I_j$ for $j > 1$. Then $(X, I_1, \dots, I_m, E)$ is snc near S iff $(S, I_2|_S, \dots, I_m|_S, E|_S)$ is snc. $\square$

The set of all points where $(X, I_1, \dots, I_m, E)$ is snc is open. It is denoted by $\text{snc}(X, I_1, \dots, I_m, E)$.

Definition 5. Let $Z \subset X$ be a smooth, irreducible subvariety that has simple normal crossing with E (cf. [Kol07, 3.25]). Let $\pi : B_Z X \rightarrow X$ denote the blow-up with exceptional divisor $F \subset B_Z X$. Define the *birational transform* of $(X, I_1, \dots, I_m, E)$ as

$$(X' := B_Z X, I'_1, \dots, I'_m, E' := \pi_{\text{tot}}^{-1} E) \quad (5.1)$$

where $I'_j = g^* I_j(-F)$ if $Z \subset \text{cosupp } I_j$ and $I'_j = g^* I_j$ if $Z \not\subset \text{cosupp } I_j$. By an elementary computation, the birational transform commutes with restriction to a smooth subvariety (cf. [Kol07, 3.62]). As in [Kol07, 3.29] we can define blow-up sequences.

The assertion (3.2) will be a special case of the following result.

Proposition 6. *Let X be a smooth variety, E an snc divisor on X and I_j ideal sheaves of Cartier divisors. Then there is a smooth blow-up sequence*

$$\Pi : (X_r, I_1^{(r)}, \dots, I_m^{(r)}, E^{(r)}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (X_1, I_1^{(1)}, \dots, I_m^{(1)}, E^{(1)}) = (X, I_1, \dots, I_m, E)$$

such that

- (1) $(X_r, I_1^{(r)}, \dots, I_m^{(r)}, E^{(r)})$ has snc everywhere,
- (2) for every j , $\text{cosupp } I_j^{(r)}$ is the birational transform of (the closure of) $\text{cosupp } I_j \cap \text{snc}(X, I_1, \dots, I_m, E)$, and
- (3) Π is an isomorphism over $\text{snc}(X, I_1, \dots, I_m, E)$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on $\dim X$ and on m .

Step 6.i. Reduction to the case where I_1 is the ideal sheaf of a smooth divisor.

Apply order reduction [Kol07, 3.107] to I_1 . (Technically, to the marked ideal $(I_1, 2)$; see [Kol07, Sec.3.5].) In this process, we only blow up a center Z if the

(birational transform of) I_1 has order ≥ 2 along Z . These are contained in the non-snc locus. A slight problem is that in [Kol07, 3.107] the transformation rule used is $I_1 \mapsto \pi^* I_1(-2F)$ instead of $I_1 \mapsto \pi^* I_1(-F)$ as in (5.1). Thus each blow-up for $(I_1, 2)$ corresponds to two blow ups in the sequence for Π : first we blow up $Z \subset X$ and then we blow up $F \subset B_Z X$.

At the end the maximal order of $I_1^{(r)}$ becomes 1. Since $I_1^{(r)}$ is the ideal sheaf of a Cartier divisor, $\text{cosupp } I_1^{(r)}$ is a disjoint union of smooth divisors.

Step 6.ii. Reduction to the case when (X, I_1, E) is snc.

The first part is an easier version of Step (6.iii), and should be read after it. Let S be an irreducible component of E . Write $E = S + E'$ and consider the restriction $(S, I_1|_S, E'|_S)$. By induction on the dimension, there is a blow-up sequence $\Pi_S : S_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow S_1 = S$ such that $(S_r, (I_1|_S)^{(r)}, (E'|_S)^{(r)})$ is snc and Π_S is an isomorphism over $\text{snc}(S, I_1|_S, E'|_S)$. The “same” blow-ups give a blow-up sequence $\Pi : X_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 = X$ such that $(X_r, I_1^{(r)}, E^{(r)})$ is snc near S_r and Π is an isomorphism over $\text{snc}(X, I_1, E)$.

We can repeat the procedure for any other irreducible component of E . Note that as we blow up, the new exceptional divisors are added to E , thus $E^{(s)}$ has more and more irreducible components as s increases. However, we only add new irreducible components to E that are exceptional divisors obtained by blowing up a smooth center that is contained in (the birational transform of) $\text{cosupp } I_1$. Thus these automatically have snc with I_1 . Therefore the procedure needs to be repeated only for the original irreducible components of E .

After finitely many steps, (X, I_1, E) is snc near E and X and $\text{cosupp } I_1$ are smooth. Thus (X, I_1, E) is snc everywhere.

(If we want to resolve just one (X, I_j, E) , we can do these steps in any order, but for a functorial resolution one needs an ordering of the index set of E and proceed systematically.)

Step 6.iii. Reduction to the case when $(X, I_1, \dots, I_m, E)$ is snc near $\text{cosupp } I_1$.

Assume that (X, I_1, E) is snc. Set $S := \text{cosupp}(I_1)$. If an irreducible component $S_i \subset S$ is contained in $\text{cosupp } I_j$ for some $j > 1$ then we blow up S_i . This reduces $\text{mult}_{S_i} I_1$ and $\text{mult}_{S_i} I_j$ by 1. Thus eventually none of the irreducible components of S are contained in $\text{cosupp } I_j$ for $j > 1$. Thus we may assume that the $I_j|_S$ are ideal sheaves of Cartier divisors for $j > 1$ and consider the restriction $(S, I_2|_S, \dots, I_m|_S, E|_S)$.

By induction there is a blow-up sequence $\Pi_S : S_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow S_1 = S$ such that

$$(S_r, (I_2|_S)^{(r)}, \dots, (I_m|_S)^{(r)}, (E|_S)^{(r)}) \text{ is snc}$$

and Π_S is an isomorphism over $\text{snc}(S, I_2|_S, \dots, I_m|_S, E|_S)$. The “same” blow-ups give a blow-up sequence $\Pi : X_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 = X$ such that the restriction

$$(S_r, I_2^{(r)}|_{S_r}, \dots, I_m^{(r)}|_{S_r}, E^{(r)}|_{S_r}) \text{ is snc}$$

and Π is an isomorphism over $\text{snc}(X, I_1, \dots, I_m, E)$. (Since we use only order 1 blow-ups, this is obvious. For higher orders, one would need the Going-up theorem [Kol07, 3.84], which holds only for D -balanced ideals. Every ideal of order 1 is D -balanced [Kol07, 3.83], that is why we do not need to worry about subtleties here.)

As noted in (4.3), this implies that

$$(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(-S_r), I_2^{(r)}, \dots, I_m^{(r)}, E^{(r)}) \text{ is snc near } S_r.$$

Note, furthermore, that $S_r = \text{cosupp } I_1^{(r)}$, hence $(X_r, I_1^{(r)}, \dots, I_m^{(r)}, E^{(r)})$ is snc near $\text{cosupp } I_1^{(r)}$.

Step 6.iv. Induction on m .

By Step 3, we can assume that $(X, I_1, \dots, I_m, E)$ is snc near $\text{cosupp } I_1$. Apply (6) to $(X, I_2, \dots, I_m, E)$. The resulting $\Pi : X_r \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism over $\text{snc}(X, I_2, \dots, I_m, E)$. Since $\text{cosupp } I_1$ is contained in $\text{snc}(X, I_2, \dots, I_m, E)$, all the blow up centers are disjoint from $\text{cosupp } I_1$. Thus $(X_r, I_1^{(r)}, \dots, I_m^{(r)}, E^{(r)})$ is also snc.

Finally, we may blow up any irreducible component of $\text{cosupp } I_j^{(r)}$ that is not the birational transform of an irreducible component of $\text{cosupp } I_j$ which intersects $\text{snc}(X, I_1, \dots, I_m, E)$. $\square$

7 (Proof of (3)). Let D_j be the irreducible components of D . Set $I_j := \mathcal{O}_X(-D_j)$ and $E := \emptyset$. Note that (X, D) is snc at $p \in X$ iff $(X, I_1, \dots, I_m, E)$ is snc at $p \in X$.

If X is a variety, we can apply (6) to $(X, I_1, \dots, I_m, E)$ to get $\Pi : X_r \rightarrow X$ and $(X_r, I_1^{(r)}, \dots, I_m^{(r)}, E^{(r)})$. Note that $E^{(r)}$ contains the whole exceptional set of Π , thus the support of $D' = \Pi_*^{-1}D + \text{Ex}(\Pi)$ is contained in $E^{(r)} + \sum_j \text{cosupp } I_j^{(r)}$. Thus D' is snc. By (6.3), Π is an isomorphism over the snc locus of (X, D) .

The resolution constructed in (6) commutes with smooth morphisms and with change of fields [Kol07, 3.34.1–2], at least if in (5) we allow reducible blow-up centers.

As in [Kol07, 3.42–45], we conclude that (3) and (6) also hold for algebraic and analytic spaces over a field of characteristic 0.

Starting with (X, D) , the above proof depends on an ordering of the irreducible components of D . This is an artificial device, but I don't know how to avoid it. $\square$

8. It should be noted that (3.2) fails for nc instead of snc. The simplest example is given by the *pinch point* $D := (x^2 = y^2z) \subset \mathbb{A}^3 =: X$. Here (X, D) has nc outside the origin. At a point along the z -axis, save at the origin, D has 2 local analytic branches. As we go around the origin, these 2 branches are interchanged. This continues to hold after any birational map that is an isomorphism over the generic point of the z -axis and so we can never get rid of the pinch point without blowing up the z -axis.

Note that (X, D) is not snc along the z -axis, thus in constructing a log resolution as in (3.2), we are allowed to blow up the z -axis.

This leads to the following general problem:

Problem 9. Describe the smallest class of singularities $\mathcal{S}$ such that for every (X, D) there is a proper birational map $f : X' \rightarrow X$ such that

- (1) (X', D') has only singularities in $\mathcal{S}$, and
- (2) f is an isomorphism over the nc locus of (X, D) .

In dimension 2 we can take, up to étale equivalence, $\mathcal{S} = \{(xy = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^2\}$ and in dimension 3 we can almost certainly take

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(xy = 0), (xyz = 0), (x^2 = y^2z) \subset \mathbb{A}^3\}.$$

In higher dimensions, there is not even a clear conjecture on what $\mathcal{S}$ should be.

Definition 10 (Semi snc). The ideal local model of an snc $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor is given by $D = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x_i = 0)$ on $X = \mathbb{A}^n$. We can also view this as sitting on $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$, where $X = (x_{n+1} = 0)$ and D is defined using the other coordinates.

Following this example, we can define a non-normal version of snc where $X \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ is defined by the product of some of the coordinates and D is defined using the remaining coordinates.

For $n = 2$ we get three possible local models.

- (1) $S = (z = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ and $D = a_x(x|_S = 0) + a_y(y|_S = 0)$. This is the usual normal case.
- (2) $S = (yz = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ and $D = a_x(x|_S = 0)$. Note that as a Weil divisor, D has two irreducible components, namely $D_1 := (x = y = 0)$ and $D_2 := (x = z = 0)$. The support of the Weil $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor $a_1 D_1 + a_2 D_2$ is always snc, but the pair $(S, a_1 D_1 + a_2 D_2)$ is semi-snc only if $a_1 = a_2$. It is easy to see that $a_1 D_1 + a_2 D_2$ is $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier only if $a_1 = a_2$.
- (3) $S = (xyz = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ and $D = 0$.

Based on this, local models of *semi-snc* pairs are the following.

Let Y be a smooth variety, $0 \in Y$ a point and $y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}$ local coordinates. Let $I_X, I_D \subset \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ be disjoint subsets and $c : I_D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function.

Then

$$X := \sum_{i \in I_X} (y_i = 0) = \sum_{i \in I_X} X_i$$

is an snc divisor on Y , which we view now as a subscheme, and

$$D := \sum_{i \in I_D} c(i)(y_i|_X = 0) = \sum_{i \in I_D} c(i)D_i$$

is a Weil $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on X .

Let X be a reduced variety and D a Weil $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X . We say that (X, D) is *semi-snc* if every point $x \in X$ has an open neighborhood $x \in U$ such that $(U, D|_U)$ is isomorphic to a local model constructed above.

As in (1), one can also define *semi-nc*.

11 (Semi log resolutions). What is the right notion of resolution or log resolution for non-normal varieties?

The simplest choice is to make no changes and work with resolutions. In particular, if $X = \cup_i X_i$ is a reducible scheme and $f : X' \rightarrow X$ is a resolution then $X' = \cup_i X'_i$ such that each $X'_i \rightarrow X_i$ is a resolution. Note that we have not completely forgotten the gluing data determining X since $f^{-1}(X_i \cap X_j)$ is part of the exceptional set, and so we keep track of it.

There are, however, several inconvenient aspects. For instance, $f_* \mathcal{O}_{X'} \neq \mathcal{O}_X$, and this makes it difficult to study the Picard group of X or the cohomology of line bundles on X using X' . Another problem is that although $\text{Ex}(f)$ tells us which part of X_i intersects the other components, it does not tell us anything about what the actual isomorphism is between $(X_i \cap X_j) \subset X_i$ and $(X_i \cap X_j) \subset X_j$.

It is not clear how to remedy these problems for an arbitrary reducible scheme, but we are dealing with schemes that have only double normal crossing in codimension 1.

We can thus look for $f : X' \rightarrow X$ such that X' has only double normal crossing singularities and f is an isomorphism over codimension 1 points of X .

As in (3), this works for simple nc but not in general. We need to allow at least pinch points.

Definition 12 (Pinch points). Let X be a smooth variety over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ and $D \subset X$ a divisor. We say that D has a *pinch point* at $p \in D$ if, in suitable local coordinates, D can be defined by the equation $x_1^2 - x_2^2 x_3 = 0$.

Note that this notion is invariant under field extensions and even completion. Indeed, if the singular set of D is a codimension 2 smooth subvariety, then D can be locally given by an equation $ax_1^2 + bx_1x_2 + cx_2^2 = 0$ where a, b, c are regular functions. If the quadratic part of the equation is a square times a unit, then, after a coordinate change, we can write the equation as $x_1^2 + cx_2^2 = 0$. This gives a pinch point after a field extension and completion iff the linear term of c is independent of x_1, x_2 . Thus we can take $x_3 = -c$ to get the equation $x_1^2 - x_2^2 x_3 = 0$.

Let us blow up $Z := (x_1 = x_2 = 0)$. The normalization of D is contained in the affine charts with coordinates $x'_1 := x_1/x_2, x_2, \dots, x_n$. If we introduce $x'_3 := x_3 - x_1'^2$ then the normalization of D is given by $(x'_3 = 0)$. The preimage of Z is the smooth divisor $x_2 = 0$ and the involution on it is $(x'_1, 0, 0, x_4, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (-x'_1, 0, 0, x_4, \dots, x_n)$.

A function f defines a τ -invariant divisor iff

$$f(x'_1, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} g(x_1'^2, x_4, \dots, x_n) + x_2 h(x'_1, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_n), & \text{or} \\ x_1' g(x_1'^2, x_4, \dots, x_n) + x_2 h(x'_1, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_n). \end{cases}$$

In the first case f is τ -invariant and descends to a regular function on D . In the second case f is not τ -invariant, but f^2 descends to a regular function on D .

In particular, $(x_1 = x_3 = 0) \subset (x_1^2 = x_2^2 x_3)$ is not a Cartier divisor but it is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier since $2(x_1 = x_3 = 0) = (x_3 = 0)$ is Cartier.

Theorem 13. *Let X be a reduced scheme over a field of characteristic 0. Let $X^{ncp} \subset X$ be an open subset such that X^{ncp} has only smooth points $(x_1 = 0)$, double nc points $(x_1^2 - ux_2^2 = 0)$ and pinch points $(x_1^2 = x_2^2 x_3)$. Then there is a projective birational morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ such that*

- (1) X' has only smooth points, double nc points and pinch points,
- (2) f is an isomorphism over X^{ncp} ,
- (3) $\text{Sing } X'$ maps birationally onto the closure of $\text{Sing } X^{ncp}$.

If X' has any pinch points then they are on an irreducible component of $B \subset \text{Sing } X'$ along which X' is nc but not snc. Then, by (13.3), X is nc but not snc along $f(B)$. Thus we obtain the following simple nc version.

Corollary 14. *Let X be a reduced scheme over a field of characteristic 0. Let $X^{snc2} \subset X$ be an open subset which has only smooth points $(x_1 = 0)$ and simple nc points of multiplicity ≤ 2 $(x_1 x_2 = 0)$. Then there is a projective birational morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ such that*

- (1) X' has only smooth points and simple nc points of multiplicity ≤ 2 ,
- (2) f is an isomorphism over X^{snc2} ,
- (3) $\text{Sing } X'$ maps birationally onto the closure of $\text{Sing } X^{snc2}$. $\square$

15 (Proof of (13)). The method of [Hir64] reduces the multiplicity of a scheme starting with the highest multiplicity locus. We can use it to find a proper birational morphism $g_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X$ such that every point of X_1 has multiplicity ≤ 2 and g_2 is

an isomorphism over X^{ncp} . Thus by replacing X by X_1 we may assume to start with that every point of X has multiplicity ≤ 2 .

The next steps of the Hironaka method would not distinguish the nc locus (that we want to keep intact) from the other multiplicity 2 points (that we want to eliminate). Thus we proceed somewhat differently.

Let $n : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ be the normalization with reduced conductor $\bar{B} \subset \bar{X}$.

Near any point of X , in local analytic or étale coordinates we can write X as

$$X = (y^2 = g(\mathbf{x})h(\mathbf{x})^2) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$$

where $(\mathbf{x}) := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and g has no multiple factors. (We allow g and h to have common factors.) The normalization is then given by

$$\bar{X} = (z^2 = g(\mathbf{x})) \quad \text{where } z = y/h(\mathbf{x}).$$

Here $\bar{B} = (h(\mathbf{x}) = 0)$ and the involution $\tau : (z, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto (-z, \mathbf{x})$ is well defined on $\bar{B}$. (By contrast, the τ action on $\bar{X}$ depends on the choice of the local coordinate system.)

Thus we have a pair $(Y_2, B_2) := (\bar{X}, \bar{B})$ plus an involution $\tau_2 : B_2 \rightarrow B_2$ such that for every $b \in B_2$ there is an étale neighborhood U_b of $\{b, \tau_2(b)\}$ such that τ_2 extends (nonuniquely) to an involution τ_{2b} of $(U_b, B_2|_{U_b})$.

Let us apply an étale local resolution procedure (as in [Wlo05] or [Kol07]) to (Y_2, B_2) . Let the first blow up center be $Z_2 \subset Y_2$. Since the procedure is étale local, we see that $U_b \cap Z_2$ is τ_{2b} -invariant for every $b \in B_2$. Let $Y_3 \rightarrow Y_2$ be the blow up of Z_2 and let $B_3 \subset Y_3$ be the birational transform of B_2 . Then τ_2 lifts to an involution τ_3 of B_3 and the τ_{2b} lift to extensions on suitable neighborhoods. Moreover, the exceptional divisor of $Y_3 \rightarrow Y_2$ intersected with B_3 is τ_3 -invariant. In particular, there is an ample line bundle L_3 on Y_3 such that $L_3|_{B_3}$ is τ_3 -invariant.

At the end we obtain $g : Y_r \rightarrow Y_2 = \bar{X}$ such that

- (1) Y_r is smooth and $\text{Ex}(g) + B_r$ is an snc divisor,
- (2) B_r is smooth and τ lifts to an involution τ_r on B_r , and
- (3) there is a g -ample line bundle L such that $L|_{B_r}$ is τ_r -invariant.

The fixed point set of τ_r is a disjoint union of smooth subvarieties of B_r . By blowing up those components whose dimension is $< \dim B_r - 1$, we also achieve (after replacing $r + 1$ by r) that

- (4) the fixed point set of τ_r has pure codimension 1 in B_r .

Let $Z_r := B_r/\tau_r$ and X_r the universal push-out of $Z_r \leftarrow B_r \hookrightarrow Y_r$, cf. [Art70, Thm.3.1].

Further, let D be a divisor on Y_r such that $D|_{B_r}$ is τ_r -invariant. As noted in (12), $2D$ is the pull back of a Cartier divisor on X_r . In particular, if D is ample then X_r is projective. $\square$

We would like not just a semi resolution of X but a log resolution of the pair (X, D) . Thus we need to take into account the singularities of D as well. As we noted in (8), this is not obvious even when X is a smooth 3-fold. The following weaker version, which gives the expected result only for the codimension 1 part of the singular set of (X, D) , will be sufficient for us.

Theorem 16. *Let X be a reduced scheme over a field of characteristic 0 and D a Weil divisor on X . Let $X^{nc2} \subset X$ be an open subset which has only nc points of*

multiplicity ≤ 2 and $D|_{X^{nc2}}$ is smooth and disjoint from $\text{Sing } X^{nc2}$. Then there is a projective birational morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ such that

- (1) the local models for $(X', D' := f_*^{-1}(D) + \text{Ex}(f))$ are
 - (a) (Smooth) $X' = (x_1 = 0)$ and $D' = (\prod_{i \in I} x_i = 0)$ for some $I \subset \{2, \dots, n+1\}$,
 - (b) (Double nc) $X' = (x_1^2 - ux_2^2 = 0)$ and $D' = (\prod_{i \in I} x_i = 0)$ for some $I \subset \{3, \dots, n+1\}$, or
 - (c) (Pinched) $X' = (x_1^2 = x_2^2 x_3)$ and $D' = (\prod_{i \in I} x_i = 0) + D_2$ for some $I \subset \{4, \dots, n+1\}$ where either $D_2 = 0$ or $D_2 = (x_1 = x_3 = 0)$.
- (2) f is an isomorphism over X^{nc2} .
- (3) $\text{Sing } X'$ maps birationally onto the closure of $\text{Sing } X^{nc2}$.

As before, (16) implies the simple nc version:

Corollary 17. *Let X be a reduced scheme over a field of characteristic 0 and D a Weil divisor on X . Let $X^{snc2} \subset X$ be an open subset which has only snc points of multiplicity ≤ 2 and $D|_{X^{snc2}}$ is smooth and disjoint from $\text{Sing } X^{snc2}$. Then there is a projective birational morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ such that*

- (1) the local models for $(X', D' := f_*^{-1}(D) + \text{Ex}(f))$ are
 - (a) (Smooth) $X' = (x_1 = 0)$ and $D' = (\prod_{i \in I} x_i = 0)$ for some $I \subset \{2, \dots, n+1\}$, or
 - (b) (Double snc) $X' = (x_1 x_2 = 0)$ and $D' = (\prod_{i \in I} x_i = 0)$ for some $I \subset \{3, \dots, n+1\}$.
- (2) f is an isomorphism over X^{snc2} .
- (3) $\text{Sing } X'$ maps birationally onto the closure of $\text{Sing } X^{snc2}$.

18 (Proof of (16)). First we use (13) to reduce to the case when X has only double nc and pinch points. Let $\bar{X} \rightarrow X$ be the normalization and $\bar{B} \subset \bar{X}$ the conductor. Here $\bar{X}$ and $\bar{B}$ are both smooth.

Next we want to apply embedded resolution to $(\bar{X}, \bar{B} + \bar{D})$. One has to be a little careful with D since the preimage $\bar{D} \subset \bar{X}$ need not be τ -invariant.

As a first step, we move the support of $\bar{D}$ away from $\bar{B}$. As in [Kol07, 3.102] this is equivalent to multiplicity reduction for a suitable ideal $I_D \subset \mathcal{O}_{\bar{B}}$. Let us now apply multiplicity reduction for the ideal $I_D + \tau^* I_D$. All the steps are now τ -invariant, so at the end we obtain $g : Y_r \rightarrow \bar{X}$ such that $B_r + D_r + \text{Ex}(g)$ has only snc along B_r and τ lifts to an involution τ_r .

As in the proof of (13), we can also assume that the fixed locus of τ_r has pure codimension 1 in B_r and that there is a g -ample line bundle L such that $L|_{B_r}$ is τ_r -invariant.

As in the end of (15), let X_r be the universal push-out of $B_r/\tau_r \leftarrow B_r \hookrightarrow Y_r$. Then (X_r, D'_r) has the required normal form along $\text{Sing } X_r$. The remaining singularities of D'_r can now be resolved as in (3). $\square$

The following analog of (3) is still open:

Problem 19. *Let X be a reduced scheme over a field of characteristic 0 and D a Weil divisor on X . Let $X^{snc} \subset X$ be the largest open subset such that $(X^{snc}, D|_{X^{snc}})$ is semi snc. Is there a projective birational morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ such that*

- (1) (X', D') is semi snc and
- (2) f is an isomorphism over X^{snc} ?

The following weaker version is sufficient for many applications. We do not guarantee that $f : X' \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism over X^{snc} , only that f is an isomorphism over an open subset $X^0 \subset X^{snc}$ that intersects every semi log canonical center of $(X^{snc}, D|_{X^{snc}})$. (One can see easily that the latter are exactly the irreducible components of intersections of irreducible components of X^{snc} and of $D|_{X^{snc}}$.) This implies that we do not introduce any “unnecessary” f -exceptional divisors with discrepancy -1 . The latter is usually the key property that one needs.

Unfortunately, the proof only works in the quasi projective case.

Proposition 20. *Let X be a reduced quasi projective scheme over a field of characteristic 0 and D a Weil divisor on X . Let $X^0 \subset X$ be an open subset such that $(X^0, D|_{X^0})$ is semi snc. There is a projective birational morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ such that*

- (1) (X', D') is semi snc and
- (2) f is an isomorphism over the generic point of every semi log canonical center of $(X^0, D|_{X^0})$.

Proof. In applications it frequently happens that $X + B$ is a divisor on a variety Y and $D = B|_X$. Applying (3.2) to $(Y, X + B)$ gives (20). In general, not every (X, D) can be obtained this way, but one can achieve something similar at the price of introducing other singularities.

Take an embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$. Pick a finite set $W \subset X$ such that each semi log canonical center of $(X^0, D|_{X^0})$ contains a point of W .

Choose $d \gg 1$ such that the scheme theoretic base locus of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(d)(-X)$ is X near every point of W . Taking a complete intersection of $(N - \dim X - 1)$ general members in $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(d)(-X)|$, we obtain $Y \supset X$ such that Y is smooth at every point of W . (Here we use that X has only hypersurface singularities near W .)

For every D_i choose $d_i \gg 1$ such that the scheme theoretic base locus of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(d_i)(-D_i)$ is D_i near every point of W . For each i , let $D_i^Y \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(d_i)(-D_i)|$ be a general member.

We have thus constructed a pair $(Y, X + \sum D_i^Y)$ such that

- (1) $(Y, X + \sum D_i^Y)$ is snc near W , and
- (2) $(X, \sum D_i^Y|_X)$ is isomorphic to $(X, \sum D_i)$ in a suitable neighborhood of W .

By (3.2) there is a semi log resolution of

$$f : (Y', X' + \sum B_i) \rightarrow (Y, X + \sum D_i^Y)$$

such that f is an isomorphism over an open neighborhood of W . Then $f|_{X'} : X' \rightarrow X$ is the log resolution we want. $\square$

Acknowledgments . Partial financial support was provided by the NSF under grant number DMS-0758275.

REFERENCES

- [Art70] M. Artin, *Algebraization of formal moduli. II. Existence of modifications*, Ann. of Math. (2) **91** (1970), 88–135. MR MR0260747 (41 #5370)
- [Hir64] Heisuke Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero. I, II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **79** (1964), 109–203; *ibid.* (2) **79** (1964), 205–326. MR MR0199184 (33 #7333)
- [Kol07] János Kollár, *Lectures on resolution of singularities*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 166, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007. MR MR2289519

- [Sza94] Endre Szabó, *Divisorial log terminal singularities*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **1** (1994), no. 3, 631–639. MR MR1322695 (96f:14019)
- [Wł05] Jarosław Włodarczyk, *Simple Hironaka resolution in characteristic zero*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (2005), no. 4, 779–822 (electronic). MR MR2163383 (2006f:14014)

Princeton University, Princeton NJ 08544-1000
kollar@math.princeton.edu